The Origin of Gaps and Holes in Transition Disks

Uma Gorti (SETI Institute)

<u>Collaborators:</u> D. Hollenbach (SETI), G. D'Angelo (SETI/NASA Ames), C.P. Dullemond (Heidelberg), I. Pascucci (LPL), J. Najita (NOAO)

Outline

- What are transition disks?
- Observations of transition disks
- Mechanisms that produce them
- Some open issues that ALMA can resolve

(Strom et al. 1989)

In this regard, we have identified several PMS stars that show small near-IR excesses, but significant mid- and far-IR excesses. Such objects may represent stars in which the *inner* disk regions (r < 0.1 AU) are relatively devoid of distributed matter, while the outer disk regions still contain substantial amounts of dust. More sensitive mid-infrared, submilli-



- Deficit of inner IR-emitting dust
- Disk evolutionary stage likely intermediate between Classes II and III
- Optically thin inner disk, thick outer disk



(Lada & Wilking classification)

(Najita et al. 2007)



(Lada & Wilking classification)

(Najita et al. 2007)

Observations of Transition Disks

• Transition disks exhibit varied morphologies

(Williams & Cieza 2011) Photometry from optical to mid-IR wavelengths Stellar photosphere ____ Spitzer IR spectra Range of SEDs for typical accreting T Tauri stars а FQ Tau CoKu Tau/4 b 10⁻⁹ Weak-excess, anemic, or homologously depleted disk Classic transition or cold disk 10-10 10-10 λF_{λ} (erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) 10-11 10-11 **GM Aur** UX Tau d 10-9 Cold disk Cold disk or pretransition disk 10-9 10-10 10-10 10¹ 10¹ 10⁰ 10² 10⁰ 10²

λ(μm)

Multiple pathways to debris disks?

• Transition disks exhibit varied morphologies

(Williams & Cieza 2011) Photometry from optical to mid-IR wavelengths Stellar photosphere ____ Spitzer IR spectra Range of SEDs for typical accreting T Tauri stars а FQ Tau CoKu Tau/4 b 10⁻⁹ Weak-excess, anemic, or homologously depleted disk Classic transition or cold disk **10**⁻¹⁰ 10-10 λF_{λ} (erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) 10-11 10-11 **GM Aur** UX Tau d 10-9 Cold disk Cold disk or 10-9 pretransition disk 10-10 10-10 10⁰ 10¹ 10² 10⁰ 10¹ 10² λ(μm)

Multiple pathways to debris disks?

Different observing epochs of same process

• Transition disks exhibit varied morphologies

(Williams & Cieza 2011) Photometry from optical to mid-IR wavelengths Stellar photosphere _ Spitzer IR spectra Range of SEDs for typical accreting T Tauri stars FQ Tau CoKu Tau/4 а b 10⁻⁹ Classic transition or cold disk Weak-excess, anemic, or homologously depleted disk 10⁻¹⁰ 10-10 λF_{λ} (erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) 10-11 10-11 **GM Aur** UX Tau d 10-9 Cold disk Cold disk or 10-9 pretransition disk 10-10 10-10 10⁰ 10¹ 10² 10⁰ 10¹ 10² λ (µm)

Multiple pathways to debris disks?

Different observing epochs of same process

Diversity in the strength of clearing processes

- Transition disks exhibit varied morphologies
- They have lower accretion rates than "full" disks



Najita et al. 2007: factor 10 lower accretion

Median accretion rate \sim 3 x 10⁻⁹ M_o yr⁻¹

- Transition disks exhibit varied morphologies
- They have lower accretion rates than average
- Frequency estimated to be ~ 10-20% overall, ~5-10% for "cold" disks (W&C '11)

(Kim et al. 2013)

If all disks go through a transition disk stage, this then gives a time duration of this epoch ~ 0.1-1Myr.

(Talk by C. Espaillat)

Physical mechanisms that create gaps/holes in transition disks

Opacity drops with increasing a_{max} in a dust grain size distribution.





Grain growth and settling faster in denser regions at small radii.

SED variations

Lower accretion ✓ (If disk is evolved, ∑ low)

Frequency X? (Perhaps, if TDs include all disks with low NIR/ MIR)



Grain growth and settling faster in denser regions at small radii.

Mechanisms that create gaps/holes in disks: II. Giant Planet Formation



(Zhu et al. 2011)

- SED variations </ (Gap depends on planet mass)
- \succ Lower accretion

(Only some gas gets past planet)

\succ Frequency 🗸

(Agrees with frequency of Jupiter mass exoplanets)



2.1E+00

0

1.4E+02

2.8E+02 4.2E+02

5.6E+02

GAP



(Talk by B.Ercolano)

Radius (Also Alexander et al. 2006, Ercolano et al. 2009, Owen et al. 2010, 2012)

SED variations

Disk mass at gap creation epoch can vary, and hence mass of outer disk can vary.

Mass loss depends on strength of stellar high energy radiation field: EUV, FUV and X-ray luminosities.



SED variations

 (Strength of radiation field)

Lower accretion

(Needs to be lower than photoevaporation rate to create gap)

SED variations

 (Strength of radiation field)

Lower accretion () X

(Needs to be lower than photoevaporation rate to create gap)

Frequency X

Continued accretion and frequency of accreting disks not compatible with <u>our</u> models of EUV, FUV + X-ray photoevaporation. (Gorti et al.)

Viscous timescale at r_{crit} (~ 1AU) is < 10⁵ years, disk lifetimes are ~ 4-5Myrs; expected frequency of accreting transition disks is ~ 2%.

SED variations

 (Strength of radiation field)

Lower accretion (X)

(Needs to be lower than photoevaporation rate to create gap)

➢ Frequency ✓(X)

Continued accretion and frequency of accreting disks not compatible with <u>our</u> models of EUV, FUV + X-ray photoevaporation. (Gorti et al.)

Viscous timescale at r_{crit} (~ 1AU) is < 10⁵ years, disk lifetimes are 4-5Myrs expected frequency of accreting transition disks is 2%.

However, XEUV photoevaporation models of Ercolano et al, Owen et al., obtain shorter disk lifetimes of ~1Myrs, and can explain the frequency observed.

(Talk by B.Ercolano)



Dust filtration mechanism keeps the dust from being accreted with the gas.

MRI-active rim erodes its way out and creates hole in disk.

SED variations ?

(Depends on the dust carried with gas)

- Lower accretion X Accretion rate increases with r_{wall}
- Frequency X





MRI-active rim erodes its way out and creates hole in disk.

Some Problems and Issues



Grain growth must precede planet formation at least in the core accretion scenario.



Need to form 10M_F core by grain growth.

Low opacity (larger dust grains) allows envelope of accreting giant planet to cool and grow in mass.

⁽Hubickyj et al. 2005)



Planets cause pressure gradients at the outer edge which trap dust and allow growth.



⁽Pinilla et al. 2012)





- Grain growth decreases the cross-section per H atom – deeper penetration of FUV photons.
- Depletion of small dust reduces grain photoelectric heating.
- Settling leads to less flared disk – fewer high energy photons intercepted.



- Photoevaporative flows only carry away small dust grains that are well coupled to gas.
- This can lead to a significant decrease in the gas/dust ratio.
- Increased density of dust could lead to growth, could even perhaps trigger Goldreich-Ward type instabilities.



⁽Gorti, Hollenbach & Dullemond, in prep)

Photoevaporation

Giant Planet Formation

Mass of giant planet is set by the disk dispersal time



(D'Angelo et al. 2010)

- Planet needs to be massive enough to open gap, but will grow further very rapidly.
- Will accrete gas as long as disk persists. Accretion halts when either planet is too massive or disk mass becomes low.
- Median accretion rate of transition disks – 3x10⁻⁹ M_o yr⁻¹ Planet must accrete at nearly 3x10⁻⁸ M_o yr⁻¹! A 1M_J planet will grow ~ 15 M_J in 0.5 Myrs.

Giant planet formation timescales are similar to disk lifetimes: causal relationship?

 $10M_{E}$ core grows to $1M_{J}$ at 5AU



Gap created by a massive planet may create an inner rim that directly intercepts stellar photons and enhances photoevaporation.

Alexander & Armitage (2009) Rosotti et al. 2013 (Poster P35)

⁽D'Angelo & Gorti, 201?)



- Pressure gradient at rim may trap dust (Pinilla et al. 2012), even without planet. Grains may grow at rim.
- Again, presence of rim will enhance photoevaporation rates.

Gas observations provide valuable information on nature of the holes/gaps.



TW Hya disk: Gas line emission modeling

At radii smaller than r ~ 4 AU, dust depleted by ~ 100-1000, gas is depleted by ~ 10-100.

Grain Growth: Gas depletion is also needed.

Planet formation: Possible explanation. Perhaps 4-7M_J planet inferred from the large gas surface density contrast. Gas streams past planet to accrete onto star.

Photoevaporation: Viscous clearing timescales are too short for photoevaporation to create the hole. FUV/X-ray photoevaporation ~ 4 x 10⁻⁹ M_o yr⁻¹, consistent with observed [NeII] wind.

- Is there gas in the inner hole?
 - Direct imaging: accretion streams (CO, HCO⁺) Planet present (talk by S.Casassus)
 - Velocity information: warps in the disk and other dynamical signatures

(e.g., Rosenfeld at al. 2012)

- No gas or gaps at expected critical radii: photoevaporation
- How much gas is there?
 - Measure of gas depletion: planet formation or photoevaporation
 - Potentially, mass of holes in fairly large holes (inside CO freezeout) could be reasonably well determined with multiple tracers, CO, HCO⁺, C¹⁸O, ¹³C¹⁷O

(poster P4, S. Bruderer)

- Gas evolution of the outer disk
 - What kind of disks form giant planets?
 - Sizes can be determined with tracers of various optical depth, will determine available mass to some extent.
 - Blue-shifts/asymmetries with blue excess in line profiles photoevaporative winds?

(talk by G. Blake)

Thank you!