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Abstract

This paper presents the first results from a prototype infrared radiometer which has been developed to measure variations in atmospheric water vapor column abundance from high altitude sites. The performance of the infrared radiometer is compared and contrasted with that of a water vapor monitor operating at radio frequencies. Analysis shows that the infrared radiometer can measure variations at the level of \(\sim 1\ \mu\text{m} \) precipitable water vapor (\(p_{\text{wv}}\)) in an integration time of 1s when the total column abundance is \(\sim 0.5\ \text{mm} \ p_{\text{wv}}\). Since variations in atmospheric water vapor are the dominant source of phase noise in (sub)millimeter astronomical interferometry, an instrument capable of rapid and high sensitivity water vapor measurements has the potential to provide the necessary phase correction information for interferometric arrays.
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1 Introduction

Due to their very long baselines (\(\sim 10\ \text{km}\)), high altitude (sub)millimeter astronomical interferometers, such as the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) [1], to be located at an elevation of \(\sim 5000\ \text{m}\) in the Chilean Andes, can, in principle, resolve angular features on the order of 10 milli-arcseconds when operating at their shortest wavelengths. In practice, the attainable resolution and sensitivity is limited by telescope-to-telescope variations in the line-of-sight water vapor abundance which result in variations in the electromagnetic path length, and hence interferometric phase. One promising approach to the measurement of atmospheric water vapor uses multi-channel radio frequency (RF) observations of the 183 GHz water vapor emission line [2]. In this technique the telescope antenna simultaneously observes atmospheric water vapor emission along the same line-of-sight as that of the astronomical source. The disadvantages of this method are the relative complexity of heterodyne millimeter receivers, the risk of RF interference with the sensitive astronomical receivers due to the presence of the local oscillator frequency and its harmonics, and the relatively weak water vapor signal due to the inherently low radiant emission of the atmosphere in this spectral region and the small spectral bandwidth (1 GHz) of each radiometric channel.
Figure 1: Variation in atmospheric water vapor abundance causes a phase delay of electromagnetic radiation.

In this paper we report the first results from a prototype infrared radiometer [3] which has been developed to measure variations in atmospheric water vapor column abundance from high altitude sites. The infrared radiometer was operated at the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT) on Mauna Kea in December of 1999 and its performance is compared and contrasted with that of the JCMT 183 GHz water vapor monitor.

2 Background

The phase delay produced by variations in atmospheric water vapor abundance above a dual element interferometer is shown schematically in Figure 1. The instantaneous apparent angular location of an astronomical source, $\theta$, can be expressed in terms of the baseline of the interferometer, $b$, and the additional electromagnetic path length, $d$, or, equivalently, the phase change of the electromagnetic wave, $\phi$, by

$$\theta = \frac{d}{b} = \frac{\phi \lambda}{2\pi b}. \quad (1)$$

Carilli and Holdaway [4] have shown that $d$ can be related to the water vapor column abundance, $w$, expressed as precipitable water vapor (pwv), by

$$d = \frac{1.7 \times 10^3}{T_{\text{water}}} \times w, \quad (2)$$
where \( d \) and \( w \) have the same units and \( T_{atm} \) is the average atmospheric temperature in K. For \( T_{atm} = 270 \text{ K} \), the excess path becomes

\[ d \approx 6.3 \times w. \] (3)

This conversion factor has been verified experimentally [5] and is now in widespread use in the radio-astronomical community [6]. Using equation (1), rms variations in column abundance then result in corresponding rms variations in electromagnetic phase given by

\[ \langle \phi \rangle = \frac{12.6 \pi}{N} \langle w \rangle. \] (4)

The target rms path error for ALMA of 11.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) [7], which corresponds to \( \sim 2 \mu \text{m} \text{ pww} \), represents a challenging measurement.

3 Motivation for an Infrared Approach

Previous measurements of the atmospheric emission above Mauna Kea, obtained with a Fourier transform spectrometer [8] over the spectral range 455 to 635 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \), have demonstrated that over a significant part of this range water vapor is the dominant source of emission. Figure 2 is an excerpt from these data and shows the emission from 455 to 516 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) (bottom curves) and computer simulated emission from \( \text{N}_2 \text{O}, \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \text{O} \) (upper three curves) modeled for the atmosphere above Mauna Kea. This figure clearly shows that, in this particular region, water vapor is the only source of emission.

The advantages of an infrared approach to water vapor measurement are threefold. Firstly, the spectral radiance from atmospheric water vapor is \( \sim \) three orders of magnitude greater at infrared wavelengths than at radio frequencies and, as shown in Figure 3, for a typical atmospheric temperature of 270 K, is seen to lie near the peak of the Planck function. Furthermore, the spectral bandwidth of the infrared radiometer (50 cm\(^{-1} \equiv 1.5 \text{THz} \)) is \( \sim \) three orders of magnitude greater than that of the 183 GHz radiometer. This increased flux can be translated into more sensitive measurements, faster operation, smaller instrument size, or some combination thereof. For example, in spite of the much larger surface area of a radio telescope antenna (\( \sim 12 \text{ m} \) diameter), an infrared radiometer using a primary optic \( \sim 10 \text{ cm} \) diameter can be shown to receive \( \sim \) two orders of magnitude more power from atmospheric water vapor emission.

Secondly, measurements at these wavelengths allow the use of mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) photoconductive detectors cooled to LN\(_2\)-temperatures. These sensitive, fast and stable devices result in a simple and robust instrument. Thirdly, since an infrared radiometer is an entirely passive device, free of radio frequency interference, it may be placed in close proximity to sensitive astronomical receivers.
Figure 2: Measured and modelled atmospheric emission spectra in the 20 $\mu$m spectral region above Mauna Kea. The lowest trace in each plot is the measured emission spectrum. Upper traces are simulated emission from H$_2$O, CO$_2$ and N$_2$O. Emission in this spectral region is seen to be due to water vapor alone.

The potential disadvantages of an infrared technique are twofold. The first is that the RF and infrared radiometers sample different atmospheric columns; while the 183 GHz beam more closely matches that of the astronomical beam, the much smaller infrared instrument samples a conical volume determined by its field of view. To compensate for this difference, the infrared radiometer was designed to sample a patch of sky of diameter equal to that of the radio antenna at a height of $\sim 1$ km, a typical value for the scale height of water vapor.

The second disadvantage is that emission from, and scattering by, ice crystals (and possible other particulates) are expected to have a greater impact in the infrared than at radio frequencies. The discussion is complicated by the lack of detailed information on infrared emission from particulates and is a subject of ongoing study. We wish to emphasize that the data presented in this paper show
Figure 3: Thermal emission from a blackbody radiator is seen to be three orders of magnitude greater in the infrared than at 183 GHz. For an atmospheric temperature of 270 K, the emission is further seen to lie near the peak of the Planck curve.

no evidence of the variation that might be expected from particulate emission.

4 Instrumentation

While a full description of the infrared radiometer will appear elsewhere [3], the key features of the instrument are presented here. As shown in Figure 4, the radiometer consisted of a LN$_2$-cooled detector that alternately viewed the atmosphere, and ambient and LN$_2$ blackbody references by means of a stationary parabolic mirror and a scanning plane mirror. The scanning mirror provided a range of observable zenith angles from 0 to 70.38° in steps of 0.18°, corresponding to an airmass range from 1 – 3. The optical input to the detector was chopped at 200 Hz by a reflective chopper blade so that the detector was alternately presented views of the atmosphere (or the blackbodies) and a reflected view of its own cold environment. The modulated detector signal was amplified by a factor of 2000 and then synchronously detected by means of a lock-in amplifier providing an additional gain of 100. The output from this amplifier was digitized by a 12-bit A/D converter and logged to a data file. A C++ program, running under DOS on a laptop computer, was responsible for instrument control and data acquisition.
The radiometer was operated in two modes, \textit{stare} and \textit{continuous scan}. In the \textit{stare} mode, the scanning mirror was pointed at a fixed zenith angle and data were acquired at a 10 Hz sampling rate. This mode of operation also allowed a direct comparison of the infrared and RF radiometers through a simultaneous observation of atmospheric emission from the same zenith angle. In the \textit{continuous scan} mode, data were acquired over a range of zenith angles from 0 to 70.38° (1 - 3 airmasses), again at a 10 Hz sampling rate. Measurements of the blackbody references were periodically taken in both modes of operation. A full \textit{continuous scan} cycle consisted of 391 (70.38° ÷ 0.18°) measurements from the atmosphere followed by two reference measurements and required \(\sim 40\) s to execute.

Figure 5 shows the infrared radiometer in operation on the apron of the JCMT. Observations were conducted from this location over a five day period from 1999 December 13 - 17, typically from 6 p.m. to midnight, Hawaiian standard time (HST).

5 \textit{Stare} Mode Results

5.1 Temporal Variation of Water Vapor

The infrared radiometer was used to obtain high speed observations of the variation of water vapor emission as a function of time along an arbitrary line-of-sight. On Thursday, December 16, between the hours of 12:30 a.m. and 1:10 a.m. HST, the \textit{stare} mode was used to acquire data from the zenith under the
conditions of an apparently clear and cloudless sky for a period of approximately 40 minutes. Figure 6 shows the results of this observation. The complete \textit{stare} observation was composed of three individual \textit{stare} operations, each separated by a period of 10 s and accounts for the two discontinuities in the data seen in the figure at 1150 s and 2300 s.

The data are shown at several magnifications, and at the highest resolution the individual data points are seen. The instrumental error of \( \sim 3 \text{mV} (1 \sigma \text{ at } 10 \text{ Hz}) \), determined from a statistical analysis of \( \sim 200 \) blackbody measurements, is included in the figure. The signal variation on a time scale of 0.1 s is close to the error limit and may represent real variations in water vapor abundance on these time scales. In any case, significant trends on the order of 1 s are clearly discernable. Of particular interest is the fact that the sky remained clear to the eye during the acquisition of these data.

5.2 Comparison of Infrared and RF Radiometers

On the evening of December 17, the infrared radiometer was operated simultaneously with the JCMT 183 GHz water vapor monitor. For a period of approximately one hour, the infrared radiometer acquired \textit{stare} mode data from the same direction as the 183 GHz system which utilizes the JCMT antenna itself. The elevation of both instruments corresponded to a zenith angle of 9°. Since the infrared radiometer was located on the apron of the JCMT, as shown in Figure 5, and the apron rotation is only adjusted periodically to follow the more precise azimuthal antenna rotation, the azimuth coordinates of the two data sets did not correspond exactly.
Figure 6: Observation of variations in atmospheric water vapor emission while viewing the zenith in the *stare* mode sampled at 10 Hz.

Upon examination, it was found that there was coherent structure present in the two datasets and that a small shift in the horizontal axis allowed them to be brought into alignment. Figure 7 shows these results; the lower curve is the 183 GHz data, sampled at a rate of 0.1 Hz. The upper curve is the raw *stare* data from the infrared radiometer, while the middle curve is the same data smoothed by a factor of 10 to an effective resolution of 1 s. The local time shown on the horizontal axis, and the precipitable water vapor scale of the vertical axis, in mm *pwv*, refers to the 183 GHz data.

Although not shown in the figure, the vertical axis, labeled in mm *pwv*, has an alternate scale corresponding to the infrared radiometer signal voltage. Given the similarity between these datasets, the 183 GHz data was used to calibrate the infrared radiometer. Each dataset was first smoothed to an effective time.
Figure 7: Datasets obtained using the infrared radiometer and JCMT 183 GHz water vapor monitor show good agreement in water vapor abundance as a function of time. The bottom curve is the 183 GHz data. The upper two curves, displaced vertically by 0.1 mm pwv for clarity, are data from the infrared radiometer at 0.1 s (top curve) and 1 s (middle curve) resolution. The vertical axis, labeled in pwv, refers to the 183 GHz data.

resolution of 20s; the minima and maxima of the two datasets provided two independent calibration points, and are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17 December 1999</th>
<th>Infrared radiometer signal ($V_{rms}$)</th>
<th>183 GHz water vapor monitor column abundance (mm pwv)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.40 p.m. LHT</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.47 p.m. LHT</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

6 Continuous Scan Mode Results

6.1 Theory

Continuous scan datasets obtained by the infrared radiometer were in the form of signal voltage vs. airmass (after conversion of the zenith angles). In order
to produce curves-of-growth from these datasets, it was necessary to rescale the airmass axes to reflect the column abundance of water vapor in the atmosphere at the time of observation. This was done by establishing a relation between the observed airmass, $A$, and the absorber amount, $u$, in units of kg m$^{-2}$. In the case of atmospheric water vapor, when $A = 1$, the radiometer was viewing the zenith and the absorber amount, $u$, is simply the vertical water vapor column abundance, $w$, in units of kg m$^{-2}$, or, equivalently, millimeters of precipitable water vapor (mm pwv).

At any moment the total absorber amount, $u$, viewed by a radiometer is

$$ u = \int_0^\infty \rho(l) \, dl, \quad (5) $$

where $\rho$ is the water vapor density. If the atmosphere can be considered to be horizontally homogeneous and plane parallel then the instantaneous integrated absorber amount along any line-of-sight is equal to that integrated along the zenith multiplied by the current airmass,

$$ u = wA. \quad (6) $$

Continuous scan datasets obtained by the infrared radiometer can be written as

$$ V = G(u) = G(wA), \quad (7) $$

where $V$ is the signal voltage and $G$ is, in general, a non-linear function relating the integrated spectral radiance to absorber amount; in the case of a single isolated line $G$ is the Ladenberg-Reiche function [9]. Continuous scan datasets obtained under different column abundance conditions (but over an identical airmass range) can be interpreted as having been obtained under the same column abundance conditions but over a larger airmass range by writing

$$ V_{n+1} = G(w_{n+1} A) = G(w_n \times \frac{w_{n+1}}{w_n} \times A) = G(w_n A'), \quad (8) $$

where $n$ labels subsequent datasets. Two such datasets lie vertically displaced from one another when plotted on a graph having a common airmass axis from 1 – 3 (Figure 8). In light of equation (8), either one of the two datasets can then be rescaled horizontally to coincide with the other. This process can be applied to many datasets obtained over a wide range of atmospheric conditions. The vertically displaced curves, plotted on a common graph, could then be interpreted as being different components of a single curve-of-growth, obtained by expanding the horizontal scales of subsequently higher curves to produce an overlap with the next lower curve. The horizontal (pwv) range of this composite curve-of-growth extends from a minimum equal to the lowest atmospheric column abundance encountered during the observing run (times one) to a maximum equal to the highest atmospheric column abundance encountered times three.
The infrared radiometer gathers data over a 1-3 airmass range. Two example continuous scan datasets are shown representing atmospheres of 1-3 mm pwv (lower curve) and 2-6 mm pwv (upper curve) taken at different times but over the same airmass range. When upper curve is rescaled to provide optimal overlap with the lower curve, it is seen to represent the 2-6 mm pwv section of the same curve-of-growth as the lower curve.

Figure 8: Two curves-of-growth, representing different column abundance conditions of the atmosphere but taken over the same airmass range, can be interpreted as components of a single curve-of-growth over an extended airmass range.

6.2 Constructing the Curve-of-Growth

Seven continuous scan datasets, representing a range of atmospheric conditions, were chosen to generate a composite curve-of-growth as described above. When plotted using the common airmass coordinate, \( A \), each dataset is represented by

\[
V_n = G(w_n A). \tag{9}
\]

The procedure to construct the composite curve-of-growth begins by leaving the lowest curve undisturbed; this dataset can be written as

\[
V_1 = G(w_1 A). \tag{10}
\]

After the process of rescaling each subsequently higher dataset horizontally to produce an overlap with the previous one, the \( n^{th} \) dataset of the composite curve is given by

\[
V_n = G(w_1 \frac{w_{n-1}}{w_n} \ldots \frac{w_1}{w_{n-2}} A). \tag{11}
\]
An IDL\textsuperscript{R} routine [10] was developed to determine the scale factors connecting any two datasets. Each *continuous scan* dataset was first re-gridded onto a uniform airmass grid, of spacing $\Delta A = 0.01$, using spline interpolation. The scale factor between any two successive curves, $f = \frac{w_n}{w_{n-1}}$, was found by iteratively adjusting a trial scale factor to minimize the overlap error given by

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{|a(i) - b(j)|^2}{N},$$

where $a$ and $b$ refer to the lower and upper datasets being compared. The summation is taken over the overlap region of the two curves. For the case of data taken over an airmass range of $1 - 3$, and a grid spacing of 0.01, equation (12) becomes

$$\chi^2 = \frac{\sum_{100}^{300} |a(i) - b(f_i)|^2}{(3 - f) 100}.$$  

The scaling factor, $f$, was varied over a range from 1 to 3, in steps of 0.01, and the corresponding $\chi^2$ was evaluated at each step. The optimum scale factor is that which yields the minimum $\chi^2$ value. Figure 9 shows a screen shot of the IDL\textsuperscript{R} program executing the above procedure for two successive curves. The upper left graph shows two raw datasets plotted over the $1 - 3$ airmass range. In the upper right graph, curve $b$ has been rescaled in the horizontal direction by increasing amounts. The $\chi^2$ of the overlap region is shown in the lower left graph and exhibits a well defined minimum. The lower right graph shows curve $b$ overplotted with curve $a$ using the optimal scaling factor corresponding to the minimum $\chi^2$ value. Also shown in this figure is the difference between the two curves in the overlap region, multiplied by a factor of 10, and offset vertically by 2 V.

Repeating the above process on curve-by-curve basis resulted in the generation of a single, composite *curve-of-growth*, representing the full range of atmospheric conditions encountered during the observing run. Figure 10 shows the final *curve-of-growth* which is composed of seven independent *continuous scan* datasets.

### 6.3 Calibration of the Composite Curve

In order to calibrate the infrared radiometer signal, in terms of water vapor column abundance, it is necessary to obtain a simultaneous and independent measurement of atmospheric water vapor. Three independent methods of determining the water vapor column abundance were available. The first method was to use the simultaneous observation of water vapor emission with the 183 GHz JCMT water vapor monitor shown in Table 1. The advantage of this method was that the data represented observations from essentially the same atmosphere at the same time. The disadvantage was that the calibration of the 183 GHz water vapor monitor, in terms of $pwv$, relies on atmospheric modelling.
Figure 9: A screen shot of the IDL procedure which iteratively adjusts the horizontal scale factor of the upper continuous scan dataset to produce the best overlap with the lower dataset. Upper left graph shows the two raw datasets over the same 1.3 airmass range but representing different water vapor column abundances. Upper right graph shows the iterative horizontal rescaling of curve b. Lower left graph shows the overlap error. Lower right graph shows the fitted curves, the overlap region and the overlap error within this region (multiplied by 10 and displaced vertically by 2 V).

The second method was to use measurements of atmospheric opacity provided by the nearby California Submillimeter Observatory (CSO). The CSO has two monitors of atmospheric opacity, one operating at 225 GHz and another at a wavelength of 350 μm. Previous work [11] has shown that the atmospheric opacity at 225 GHz, τ_{225 GHz}, is related to the column abundance of water vapor, w, in mm pwv, by

\[ w = 20(\tau_{225 GHz} - 0.016). \]  

(14)

Unfortunately, only the 350 μm opacity monitor was operating during this period. However, since the two opacities are empirically related by [12]

\[ \tau_{350 \mu m} = 23 \times \tau_{225 GHz}, \]  

(15)
Figure 10: The composite curve-of-growth (lower trace) is constructed from seven individual curves which are shown successively offset by ~ 0.05 V for clarity.

equation (14) becomes

$$w(\text{mm row}) = 20\left(\frac{\text{row}}{28} - 0.016\right).$$ (16)

The two principal disadvantages of using the CSO opacity measurements to calibrate the infrared radiometer are that they are obtained from a different azimuthal angle at infrequent time intervals (every 20 minutes).

The third method was to use data provided by radiosondes launched twice daily from Hilo airport at 2:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. HST. There are three principal disadvantages of radiosonde measurements: they occur only once a night, the launch site is ~ 50 km to the southeast of the summit of Mauna Kea and, most importantly, they tend to carry moisture aloft from the tropical launch site which tends to yield elevated column abundance readings.

In light of the above, it was decided to calibrate the infrared radiometer using the data obtained from the JCMT 183 GHz water vapor monitor. Data from the other two methods are included for comparison. The lower curve in Figure 11 is the uncalibrated composite curve-of-growth (i.e. Figure 10) plotted in terms of airmass. This curve was transformed into a calibrated curve-of-growth by rescaling its horizontal axis to coincide with lowest of the 183 GHz calibration points given in Table I (represented by the lower square) and resulted in the upper curve in Figure 11. The second independent 183 GHz calibration point from Table I is seen to fall directly on this calibrated curve. The conversion factor between airmass and water vapor column abundance is

$$w = 0.616 \text{A}. $$ (17)
Figure 11: Calibration of the composite curve-of-growth in terms of pwv. The lower curve is the composite curve in Figure 10 referenced to the airmass scale. The upper curve has been calibrated using the lower 183 GHz data point (boxes) and is referenced to the mm pwv scale; it is a numerical coincidence that the same horizontal scale can be used for both. The CSO 350 μm calibration points (circles) are seen to suggest a slightly lower column abundances. The radiosonde data (triangles) suggest the opposite.

The CSO 350 μm data, corresponding to the times of the two 183 GHz calibration measurements in Table I, were converted to mm pwv using equation 16. The resulting values of 1.73 and 2.50 mm pwv correspond to radiometer signal voltages of 1.61 V and 1.94 V, respectively. These two data points are plotted in Figure 11 as circles and show good agreement with the 183 GHz data. In fact, the composite curve-of-growth could equally well have been calibrated using these two data points had they been of less questionable quality.

Finally, the radiosonde calibration data are displayed in Figure 11 as triangles. These four data points show a wide scatter and a trend toward larger column abundances than the JCMT and CSO data. Unless radiosondes can be launched from the summit of Mauna Kea, they are unlikely to be useful for calibration purposes.
6.4 Infrared Radiometer Performance

If the noise voltage of the infrared radiometer is projected onto the column abundance axis of the calibrated curve-of-growth, the sensitivity of the radiometer to changes in water vapor column abundance can be determined. Since the derivative is itself a function of column abundance, so is the performance of the infrared radiometer; the best performance will be obtained at low column abundance levels where the derivative is larger.

The performance of the infrared radiometer has been evaluated for water vapor column abundances of 0.5 and 1.0 mm $p_{\text{wv}}$, corresponding to very good and average conditions above high altitude observatory sites. The results are summarized in Table II in which the sensitivity values represent a 1σ error in a 1 s integration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water vapor column abundance (mm $p_{\text{wv}}$)</th>
<th>Curve-of-growth derivative $(V/(\text{mm} \ p_{\text{wv}})^{-1})$</th>
<th>Radiometer sensitivity $(\mu \text{m} \ p_{\text{wv}})$</th>
<th>Electromagnetic path length sensitivity $(\mu \text{m})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II

Under conditions of 1 mm $p_{\text{wv}}$, the prototype infrared radiometer has the capability of measuring electromagnetic path length variations of $\sim 10 \mu \text{m}$. Under conditions of 0.5 mm $p_{\text{wv}}$, this improves to $\sim 6 \mu \text{m}$. This performance satisfies the challenging requirements proposed for ALMA.

7 Conclusions and Future Directions

This paper presents the results from the first field test of an infrared radiometer designed to measure, both rapidly and accurately, the water vapor column abundance above high altitude sites. The results show that the infrared radiometer meets the challenging requirements for phase correction of the new generation of radio interferometers such as ALMA.

Two outstanding issues remain. The first relates to the differing degrees of overlap between the infrared and astronomical beams as a function of height, which may introduce some decorrelation between the phase delay measured by the radiometer and that seen by the antenna. The second is the impact of particulate emission and scattering on the infrared radiometer measurements. A second generation instrument named IRMA (Infrared Radiometer for Millimeter Astronomy), operated remotely over the web from Lethbridge, has been installed at the JCMT. IRMA operates nightly and is currently compiling a database which will allow us to study the infrared emission from the atmosphere above Mauna Kea under a variety of atmospheric conditions. Further
comparison of IRMA measurements with those from the JCMT 183 GHz water vapor monitor is planned.
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