## ALMA Cycle 3 Relative Integration times <br> Brian Mason (NRAO)

Using previously established methods I calculated time ratios for the Cycle 3 antenna configurations. Files used were those in the CASA release retrieved Sept 162015 in CV:

```
/home/casa/data/distro/alma/simmos/alma.cycle3.1.cfg
/home/casa/data/distro/alma/simmos/alma.cycle3.2.cfg
/home/casa/data/distro/alma/simmos/alma.cycle3.3.cfg
/home/casa/data/distro/alma/simmos/alma.cycle3.4.cfg
/home/casa/data/distro/alma/simmos/alma.cycle3.5.cfg
/home/casa/data/distro/alma/simmos/alma.cycle3.6.cfg
/home/casa/data/distro/alma/simmos/alma.cycle3.7.cfg
/home/casa/data/distro/alma/simmos/alma.cycle3.8.cfg
/home/casa/data/distro/alma/simmos/aca.cycle3.cfg
```

Results are in Table 1. The average $12 \mathrm{mC} / \mathrm{X}$ time ratio is 0.26 . The "Median point" and "median $N_{\text {overlap" }}$ " are discussed and defined in the writeup of Cycle 4 configurations; the "total $N_{\text {overlap }}$ " is the number of visibilities for the given array that fall between the shortest baseline of the more extended configuration and the longest baseline of the more extended configuration, including the extreme points.

| Configs | $t_{C} / t_{X}$ | Median <br> point [m.] | Median $N_{\text {overlap }}$ <br> (frac.compact, frac.ext.) | Total $N_{\text {overlap }}$ <br> compact | Total $N_{\text {overlap }}$ <br> ext. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cy3-1/Cy3-4 | 0.17 | 128.3 | $109(08.6 \%, 08.6 \%)$ | $1059(83.9 \%)$ | $182(14.4 \%)$ |
| Cy3-2/Cy3-5 | 0.35 | 223.5 | $143(11.3 \%, 11.3 \%)$ | $1161(92.0 \%)$ | $408(32.3 \%)$ |
| Cy3-3/Cy3-6 | 0.22 | 356.9 | $87(06.8 \%, 06.8 \%)$ | $1125(89.2 \%)$ | $248(19.6 \%)$ |
| Cy3-4/Cy3-7 | 0.27 | 625.5 | $95(07.5 \%, 07.5 \%)$ | $827(65.5 \%)$ | $226(17.9 \%)$ |
| Cy3-5/Cy3-8 | 0.27 | 898.9 | $103(08.1 \%, 08.1 \%)$ | $885(70.1 \%)$ | $244(19.3 \%)$ |
| AcaCy3/C3-1 | 7.3 | 19.1 | $37(40.6 \%, 02.9 \%)$ | $55(60.4 \%)$ | $136(10.7 \%)$ |
| AcaCy3/C3-2 | 2.3 | 23.8 | $19(20.8 \%, 01.5 \%)$ | $53(58.2 \%)$ | $42(03.3 \%)$ |
| AcaCy3/C3-3 | 1.0 | 28.4 | $13(14.2 \%, 01.0 \%)$ | $53(58.2 \%)$ | $18(01.4 \%)$ |

Table 1: Comparison of Cycle3 array configuration overlaps. Note that as in previous work numbers count distinct visibilities in a snapshot - including $(-u,-v)$ - not physically distinct baselines per se.

