Tests of GR using Neutron Star – White Dwarf Binaries



Scott Ransom National Radio Astronomy Observatory & University of Virginia

Image Credit: Bill Saxton

Pulsar Population of the Galaxy

~2300 pulsars known, but the Galaxy has ~30000 (and ~10000 MSPs)

Only 2-3% of known pulsars are "interesting" for basic/astro physics individually

In Galaxy, we know: ~160 binary MSPs ~40 isolated MSPs

~40 binary part-recyc ~20 isolated part-recyc

Definitions: Part-recycled: P > 20 ms, B < 3x10¹⁰ G MSP: P < 20 ms, B < 10⁹ G









Measurement - Model = Timing Residuals



200ns RMS over 2 yrs

Post-Keplerian Orbital Parameters

Besides the normal 5 "Keplerian" parameters (P_{orb}, e, a*sin*(*i*)/c, T₀, ω), General Relativity gives:

$$\dot{\omega} = 3 \left(\frac{P_b}{2\pi}\right)^{-5/3} (T_{\odot}M)^{2/3} (1-e^2)^{-1}$$
 (Orbital Precession)

$$\gamma = e \left(\frac{P_b}{2\pi}\right)^{1/3} T_{\odot}^{2/3} M^{-4/3} m_2 (m_1 + 2m_2)$$
 (Grav redshift + time dilation)

$$\dot{P}_b = -\frac{192\pi}{5} \left(\frac{P_b}{2\pi}\right)^{-5/3} \left(1 + \frac{73}{24}e^2 + \frac{37}{96}e^4\right) (1-e^2)^{-7/2} T_{\odot}^{5/3} m_1 m_2 M^{-1/3}$$

$$r = T_{\odot} m_2$$
 (Shapiro delay: "range" and "shape")

$$s = x \left(\frac{P_b}{2\pi}\right)^{-2/3} T_{\odot}^{-1/3} M^{2/3} m_2^{-1}$$

where: $T_{\odot} \equiv GM_{\odot}/c^3 = 4.925490947 \ \mu s$, $M = m_1 + m_2$, and $s \equiv sin(i)$

These are only functions of:

- the (precisely!) known Keplerian orbital parameters P_h, e, asin(i)
- the mass of the pulsar m_1 and the mass of the companion m_2

Post-Keplerian Orbital Parameters

Besides the normal 5 "Keplerian" parameters (P_{orb}, e, a*sin*(*i*)/c, T₀, ω), General Relativity gives:



where: $T_{\odot} \equiv GM_{\odot}/c^3 = 4.925490947 \ \mu s$, $M = m_1 + m_2$, and $s \equiv sin(i)$

These are only functions of:

- the (precisely!) known Keplerian orbital parameters P_b, e, asin(i)
- the mass of the pulsar m_1 and the mass of the companion m_2

The Binary Pulsar: B1913+16

First binary pulsar discovered at Arecibo Observatory by Hulse and Taylor in 1974

NS-NS Binary

 $P_{psr} = 59.03 \text{ ms}$ $P_{orb} = 7.752 \text{ hrs}$ $a \sin(i)/c = 2.342 \text{ lt-s}$ e = 0.6171 $\dot{\omega} = 4.2 \text{ deg/yr}$ $M_c = 1.3874(7) M_{\odot}$ $M_p = 1.4411(7) M_{\odot}$



The Binary Pulsar: B1913+16 Three Relativistic Observables: ώ, γ, Porb

Indirect detection of Gravitational Radiation



Gravitational Wave Detection with a Pulsar Timing Array

- Need good MSPs
- Significance scales directly with the number of MSPs being timed. Lack of good MSPs is currently the biggest limitation
- Must time the pulsars for 5-10 years at a precision of ~100 nano-seconds!

N. America



GBT 350MHz Drift Scan Survey in 2007

Lorimer, McLaughlin, Ransom, Boyles, Lynch, Hessels, Kondratiev, Stairs, van Leeuwen, Archibald, Kaspi, Roberts, Stovall, Karaku-Argaman, + several undergraduate students...

~1350 hrs of obs @25 MB/s ~ 135 TB (~25% of the full sky!)

So far 2 fantastic pulsar – white dwarf systems....



PSR J1738+0333

- 5.85 ms pulsar from 2001
- · 8.5 hr, highly circular, orbit with WD
- · Excellent long-term timing: Pb-dot, π
- $^{\cdot}$ Optical obs: mass ratio (8.1±0.2) and WD model gives M_{wd} = 0.181(7) M_{\odot}





This is an excellent test of scalar-tensor gravity!

Antoniadis et al. 2012 Freire et al. 2012

NS-WD radiative test of GR

In mono-scalar-tensor theories, there can be dipolar gravitational radiation:

Wex 2014 arXiv: 1402.5594

$$\dot{P}_b = -2\pi \, \frac{m_p m_c}{M^2} \, \frac{1 + e^2/2}{(1 - e^2)^{5/2}} \, \frac{\mathcal{V}_b^3}{c^3} \frac{(\alpha_p - \alpha_c)^2}{1 + \alpha_p \alpha_c} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}_b^5/c^5)$$

 α_p and α_c are the effective scalar coupling of PSR & companion

To first order:
$$(\alpha_p - \alpha_c) \propto (\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

Where $\epsilon \sim GM/Rc^2$ is the gravitational binding energy: $\epsilon \sim 0.1$ for NSs $\sim 10^{-6}$ for WDs $\sim 10^{-10}$ for planets NS-NS systems have: $(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c)^2 \sim 10^{-5}$ to 10^{-4} J1738+0333 (i.e. NS-WD) has: $(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c)^2 \sim 0.012$ PSR-WD orbital decays can be dominated by dipolar radiation, despite "good" NS-NS quadrupolar tests

Constraints on scalar-tensor theories

- · $T_1(\alpha_0,\beta_0)$ theories
- GR has $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 0$
- · Jordan Fierz Brans – Dicke theory has $\beta_0=0$
- This is a form of Strong
 Equivalence
 Principle violation test



Wex 2014, arXiv: 1402.5594

PSR J0348+0432

- · 39.1 ms GBT Driftscan pulsar
- · 2.4hr relativistic orbit with WD
- He WD is ~10,120K, log(g) ~6.0
- · Mass ratio of 11.70 +/- 0.13!
- · Orbital period decay coming...





NS mass ~ 2.01(4) Msun! (interesting tests of GR)

Antoniadis et al Science, 2013, 340, 448

Gravitational Binding Energy

Massive NS gives qualitatively different tests than previously





PSR J0348+0432



PSR J0348+0432



J0348 rules out new parameter space



An example of a scalar-tensor theory $T_1(10^{-4}, -4.5)$ which passes J1738+0333 tests, but which fails for J0348+0432

Wex 2014, arXiv: 1402.5594

Outer Orbit P_{orb}=327days M_{WD} = 0.41M_{Sun}

PSR J0337+1715 Triple System

Inner Orbit P_{orb}=1.6days M_{PSR} = 1.44M_{Sun} M_{WD} = 0.20M_{Sun}

Pulsar 16 lt-sec

"Young, hot" White Dwarf

Magnified 15x

Orbital inclinations





Center of Mass 118 It-sec

472 It-sec

"Cool, old" White Dwarf

Figure credit: Jason Hessels

Relatively bright 2.7ms pulsar! 366 Hz! Arecibo can see it!

~0.8µs arrival times in 10 seconds (~13,000 of them)!



UV/Optical/IR Counterpart

Inner White <u>Dwarf</u> ~18-19 mag GALEX (UV) SDSS (Opt) WIYN (IR) Spitzer (IR)



UV/Optical/IR Counterpart

Inner White Dwarf ~18-19 mag GALEX (UV) SDSS (Opt) WIYN (IR) Spitzer (IR)

Outer star is therefore a cooler WD!



Optical spectroscopy on inner WD...



0.00

0.25

0.50

0.75

Inner Orbital Phase (cycles)

1.00

1.25

1.50

D = 1,300 + / -80 pcKaplan, van Kerkwijk et al 2014, ApJ





~1.34 us weighted RMS for 26,260 TOAs!



~1.34 us weighted RMS for 26,260 TOAs!

PSR J0337+1715: fully solved!

- High precision masses: Mpsr = 1.4378(13) Msun Mwd_i = 0.19751(15) Msun Mwd_o = 0.4101(3) Msun
- Orbits are co-planar to < 0.02 deg! (i = 39.24 deg)
- · Apsides aligned (despite $e_i \sim 7x10^{-4}$ and $e_o \sim 0.035!$)



Strong Equivalence Principle

- Gravitational and inertial masses are equal
- Composition, shape, mass, location etc doesn't matter
- This applies to objects with strong self-gravity as well:
 - Gravitational binding energy gravitates!
 - · Only GR embodies this
- Tested via the Nordtvedt parameter, η:

$$\left(\frac{m_{\text{grav}}}{m_{\text{inertial}}}\right) = 1 + \Delta = 1 + \eta \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$



Strong Equivalence Principle

- Gravitational and inertial masses are equal
- Composition, shape, mass, location etc doesn't matter
- This applies to objects with strong self-gravity as well:
 - Gravitational binding energy gravitates!
 - · Only GR embodies this
- Tested via the Nordtvedt paramater, η:

$$\left(\frac{m_{\text{grav}}}{m_{\text{inertial}}}\right) = 1 + \Delta = 1 + \eta \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$



Müller, Hofmann, Biskupek, 2012, CQGrav



Example of initial testing...



Plot by Anne Archibald

J0337+1715 scalar-tensor constraints

 "G" effectively different for NS and WD. They fall in relatively "strong" grav field of outer WD.

 Prediction is ~1-2 orders of mag better than other current or future tests (including Lunar Laser Ranging!), and soon! (Archibald et al in prep).

- · $T_1(\alpha_0,\beta_0)$ theories
- GR has $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 0$
- Jordan–Fierz–Brans– Dicke theory has $\beta_0=0$



N. Wex, private communication

Summary: PSR-WD tests of GR

- All-sky pulsar surveys provide amazing (and surprising) systems to test GR in interesting ways
- \cdot The survey yield will increase in the next decade
- There are 10⁴ 10⁵ pulsars to find in the Galaxy, and a few percent of them will be interesting
- High-precision timing makes many PSR systems interesting now that were uninteresting yesterday
- New tests of the Strong Equivalence Principle will be out very soon (especially J0337+1715)



 R_{\odot}



System Evolution?

· Questions:

- · Why so co-planar?
- · Why so circular?
- · Multiple mass Xfers?
- · Possible Answers:
 - Common envelope(s?)
 - · Mass Xfer-ed 3 times!
 - · Multiple LMXB phases

Tauris and van den Heuvel, 2014, ApJ



VLBA Distance Soon

Already have 3 epochs of approved VLBA campaign...
 1-2% distance on the way (Adam Deller and co)

- Will be a perfect "calibration" source for low-mass He WD models

- Astrometric reflex motion from outer orbit is $\sim 237/D_{kpc} \mu as$, easily measurable with VLBA

- Since size of orbit is known from timing, will also give independent geometric distance